

# UNITED STAT DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Iress: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/180,340

08/20/99

HO

ίVi

7024109PUR48

HM22/0412

KENNETH A GANDY WOODARD EMHAROT NAUGHTON MORIARTY & MCNETT BANK ONE CTR TOWER 111 MONUMENT CIRCLE SUITE 3700 INDIANAPOLIS IN 46204 EXAMINER

ROBINSON H

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1653 DATE MAILED:

04/12/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

5.12.00 7.12.00 10.12.00

RECEIVED

APR 19 2000

Woodard, Emhardt, Naughton Moriarty & McNett

**RECEIVED** 

JAN 2 3 2002

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS** 

EXHIBIT

A

### Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/180,340

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Hope Robinson

Group Art Unit 1653

Ho et al.

X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 20, 1999	
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	<del></del>
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for forma in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	Il matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respirapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of to 37 CFR 1.136(a).	ond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s)	
Claimsa	
<ul> <li>☐ The drawing(s) filed on</li></ul>	is approved disapproved.  35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ received.  ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Stage application f	PCT/US97/07663 . tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).  Interview Summary, PTO-413  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948  Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	5.12.00 7.12.00 ENTERED 10.12.00

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Application/Control Number: 09/180,340 Page 2

Art Unit: 1653

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed on August 20, 1999 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP 609 because there is an item listed on the information disclosure statement that was not translated. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. A line has been drawn through the following item on the information disclosure statement: DE4009676A1 (German language document, without an English abstract).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject

matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 13 is rejected under 112, second paragraph as failing to distinctly point out the subject matter applicant regards as his invention because the claim recites the word "at" twice in line 19. Correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/180,340 Page 3

Art Unit: 1653

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (a) as being anticipated by Ho et al. (WO95/13362, May 18, 1995).

Ho et al. teach recombinant yeasts containing genes encoding xylose reductase, xylitol dehydrogenase and xylulokinase, and DNA molecules, vectors and methods useful for producing such yeasts. The recombinant yeasts effectively ferment xylose to ethanol, and preferred yeasts are capable of simultaneously fermenting glucose and xylose to ethanol thereby taking full advantage of these two sugar sources as they are found in agricultural biomass (see abstract and page 3). The reference also teach the fermentation of glucose to ethanol via the yeast *Saccharomyces* (see pages 3-5). Ho et al. indicate that the yeast of the invention can ferment the two sugars (xylose and glucose) to ethanol simultaneously achieved where the xylitol

Art Unit: 1653

dehydrogenase, xylulokinase and xylose reductase genes are fused to promoters which are not inhibited by the presence of glucose and also do not require xylose for induction (see page 6). In addition, the recombinant yeast strain containing xylitol dehydrogenase, xylulokinase and xylose reductase genes are fused to non-glucose-inhibited promoters and the yeast is capable of fermenting xylose to ethanol and glucose to ethanol (see page 6). The genes that are fused to promoters in the above case are not inhibited by glucose and do not require xylose for induction, so as to enable the expedient production of recombinant yeasts capable of simultaneously fermenting glucose and xylose to ethanol (see page 7).

Ho et al. teach direct amplification of the intact xylitol dehydrogenase gene and the promotor less xylitol dehydrogenase from *Pichia stipitis* chromosomal DNA (see Figure 10 and page 10). Furthermore, Ho et al. disclose that suitable sources of xylitol dehydrogenase, and xylose reductase genes include xylose-utilizing yeasts such as *Candida shehatae*, *Pichia stipitis*, *Pachysolen tannophilus* and suitable sources of xylulokinase genes include the above yeasts as well as xylose non-utilizing yeasts such as those from genus *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* and bacteria such as *Escherichia coli* etc. (see page 13). Additionally, the reference teaches that pLSK15, a derivative of pLX10-14 is a low copy number plasmid with a copy number of approximately 10 in yeast (*Saccharomyces*). pLSK15 contains the geneticin resistance gene and ampicillin resistance gene which serve as selection markers in *S. cerevisiae* (see pages 15 and 16). pUCKm10 another high copy number plasmid (copy number of about 50 or more) with a copy number close to 100 in *S. cerevisiae*. These specific DNA

Art Unit: 1653

fragments serve as the replicon and selection markers that enable the plasmid to be replicated autonomously in S. cerevisiae and other yeast and enable the yeast transformants containing the plasmid to be distinguished from the untransformed host cells (see page 16). Therefore, the limitations of the claims are met by Ho et al.

Claims 14-16, 18-19 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by 4. Le Dall et al. (Current Genetics, vol. 26, pages 38-44, 1994).

Le Dall et al. disclose the construction of several plasmids to test gene amplification in the rDNA by using an EcoRI-Bg/II fragment of the G unit of the rDNA of Y. lipolytica. The reference provides a plasmid containing Ura3 gene, and the XPR2 gene encoding alkaline extracellular proteinase integrated into a ribosomal RNA gene of Y. lipolytica. Le Dall et al. tested transformants containing plasmids for copy number, stability, chromosomal localization and alkaline extracellular protease secretion. Multiple copies of the plasmid were successfully integrated into the genome and cells which expressed the Ura3 gene could be maintained in nonselective medium for at least 20 generations. Further Le Dall et al. asserts that the plasmids contain a portion of the rDNA of Y. lipolytica as well as derivatives of the Y. lipolytica URA3 gene as selection markers. These derivatives contain various promoter deletions either coupled, or not coupled, to a mutation in the coding region. In addition, these plasmids contained the XPR2 gene used as a model for gene expression and protein secretion (see abstract, and pages 38-39 and 43-44). Therefore, the limitations of the claims are met by this reference.

Art Unit: 1653

5. Claims 14-16, 18, 19, 28 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (a) as being anticipated by Lopes et al. (Yeast, vol. 12, no.5, pages 467-477, April 1996).

Lopes et al. teach numerous plasmid containing various genes integrated into a ribosomal RNA gene of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Multiple copies of the plasmid were successfully integrated into the genome; cells were maintained in non-selective medium for multiple generations and stability of the integrated genes was assessed (see abstract and pages 467-475). Further, the plasmids contained a Leu2d selection marker and various cloned genes for stability and expression studies. Yeast transformants were selected by plating on agar plates containing yeast nitrogen base (without amino acids), glucose and histidine. The same medium was used for growing the transformants in liquid culture (see page 468 and Figure 1). Therefore, the claim limitations are met by this reference.

6. Claims 14-16, 18, 19, 28 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Fujii et al. (Applied and Environmental Microbiology, vol. 56, no. 4, pages 997-1003, April 1990).

Fujii et al. teach an integration plasmid, pIARL28 containing the ribosomal DNA gene constructed for introduction of the α-acetolactate decarboxylase gene into brewer's yeast. The transformation efficiency of pIARL28 was 20-50 fold higher than those of other Yip vectors, as yeast cells had approximately 140 copies of the ribosomal DNA gene (see abstract and pages 997-998). The reference also teach that multiple copies of the plasmid was successfully integrated into

Application/Control Number: 09/180,340 Page 7

Art Unit: 1653

the genome of a strain of brewer's yeast; cells which expressed the exogenous gene at low levels and had excised the marker sequences could be maintained in non-selective medium for over 80 generations. Furthermore, integrants were selected on the basis of uracil prototrophy or resistance to G418, respectively. The number of transformants obtained with the KpnI-linearized plasmid was more than 20-50 fold higher than that obtained with the ApaI-linearized plasmid. Fujii et al. interpret these results to mean that the rDNA genes were useful target sequences because they enhanced integration efficiency due to their high copy number in the genome (see page 998, and Figure 1). Therefore, this reference meets the limitations of the claims.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was

Art Unit: 1653

commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103 (c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102 (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a).

8. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Yamano et al. (Journal of Biotechnology, vol. 32, pages 173-178, 1994) in view of Le Dall et al. (Current Genetics, vol. 26, pages 38-44, 1994), Fujii et al. (Applied and Environmental Microbiology, vol. 56, no. 4, pages 997-1003, April 1990) and Tantirungkij et al. (Applied Microbiology Biotechnology, vol. 41, pages 8-12, 1994).

The teachings of Le Dall et al. and Fujii et al. are above. Yamano et al. disclose a plasmid containing an *Acetobacter aceti ssp. xylimum* α-acetolactate decarboxylase (ALDC) gene integrated into a ribosomal RNA gene of brewer's yeast (*Saccharomyces carlsbergensis*; ribosomal genes are known to integrate as multiple copies). The plasmid was successfully integrated into the genome of a strain of brewer's yeast and cells which expressed the exogenous gene could be maintained in non-selective medium for over 60 generations. Further the cells were co-transformed with a plasmid for G418 resistance (pZNEO) (see abstract and pages 173-178). Yamano et al. teach that the proportion of ALDC positive clones was highest when the ratio of the ALDC integration cassette to pZNEO was 3:1 (see pages 177-178). Neither Le Dall et al.,

Art Unit: 1653

Fujii et al. or Yamano et al. teach a yeast containing genes for xylose fermentation multiply integrated into the ribosomal genes.

Tantirungkij et al. mutants of xylose-assimilating recombinant Saccharomyces cerevisiae carrying the xylose reductase and xylitol dehydrogenase genes on plasmid pEXGD8 were selected. High xylulokinase activity was reported in the fastest growing strain (IM2). Further, the reference teach that the slow conversion of xylose to xylitol led to an increase in the ethanol yield (see abstract).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to arrive at the invention as a whole by combining the teachings of the above references because Le Dall et al., Fujii et al. and Yamano et al. all teach plasmids containing various genes integrated into a ribosomal RNA gene of brewer's yeast and multiple copies of the plasmid integrated into the genome. There is motivation to combine the references based on the similarity of the teachings and Fujii et al. incorporates the teachings of Yamano et al. In order to obtain a higher copy number of the genes for xylose assimilation and thus higher expression levels than observed by Tantirungkij et al. it would have been obvious to modify the teachings of Fujii et al., Le Dall et al. and Yamano et al. by adding in the xylose-assimilating recombinant yeast of Tantirungkij et al. Because Tantirungkij et al. describes recombinant Saccharomyces cerevisiae which contain and express the genes for xylose assimilation integrated into the genome. Although Tantirungkij et al. does not teach integration into ribosomal genes it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to place the xylose assimilation genes into a

Art Unit: 1653

ribosomal integration vector, as taught by Yamano et al., Le Dall et al. and Fujii et al. with a reasonable expectation of success. Thus, the claimed invention was obvious to make and use at the time it was made and was *prima facie* obvious.

9. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Ho. et al. (WO 95/13362, May 18, 1995) in view of Le Dall et al. (Current Genetics, vol. 26, pages 38-44, 1994), and Fujii et al. (Applied and Environmental Microbiology, vol. 56, no. 4, pages 997-1003, April 1990).

The teachings of Le Dall et al. and Fujii et al. are discussed above. Neither Le Dall et al. or Fujii et al. describes yeast containing the genes for xylose fermentation multiply integrated into the ribosomal genes. Ho et al. as applied to Claims 1-13 is above and is applied to this rejection in summary. Ho et al. discloses recombinant Saccharomyces cerevisiae which contain and express the genes for xylose assimilation integrated into the genome. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to place the xylose assimilation genes of Ho et al. into the ribosomal vector of Le Dall et al. and Fujii et al. with a reasonable expectation of success.

Thus, the claimed invention was obvious to make and use at the time it was made and was prima facie obvious.

Page 10

Art Unit: 1653

Page 11

#### Art of Record

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hallborn et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,886,382, November 3, 1994). Hallborn et al. teach recombinant DNA technology, specifically new recombinant yeast strains transformed with xylose reductase and/or xylitol dehydrogenase enzyme genes. A yeast strain transformed with the xylose to xylitol and consequently of producing xylitol in vivo. If both of these genes are transformed into a yeast strain, the resultant strain is capable of producing ethanol on xylose containing medium during fermentation.

Ho et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,789,210, November 8, 1993). Ho et al. teach recombinant yeast containing genes encoding xylose reductase, xylitol dehydrogenase and xylulokinase, and DNA molecules, vectors and methods useful for producing such yeasts.

#### Conclusion

11. No claims are allowable.

Art Unit: 1653

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Hope Robinson whose telephone number is (703) 308-6231. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 am to 5:30 pm (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Christopher S. F. Low, can be reached at (703) 308-2923.

Any inquiries of a general nature relating to this application should be directed to the

Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers related to this application may be submitted by facsimile transmission. The official

fax phone number for Technology Center 1600 is (703) 308-4242. Please affix the examiner's

name on a cover sheet attached to your communication should you choose to fax your response.

The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096

OG (November 15, 1989).

**RECEIVED** 

Page 12

JAN 2 3 2002

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS** 

Patent Examiner

Hope Robinson, MS

Christopher S.F. LOW SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

INFORMA*	TION DISCLOSURE Of several sheets if necess	ITATION	Atty. Docket 7024-109/PUR-	No.	Serial No. Unknown	
(Use s	Several sheets if nedes	SAIN E		40-PC1-03	TONKHOWN	
	Sheet 1 of 4	7 4000	Nancy W.Y.	Ho et al.		
	AL )	H 2 7 1999	Filing Date		Group Art	Unit
	E.		November 5.	, 1998	Unknown	
	No.	A THURSDAY	TENT DOCUME		2000	Lew-B
*Examiner Initial	DOCUMENT D NUMBER	ATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	Filing Date If appropriate
		<u> </u>				
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		<del></del>	
		,				
			* **			
				-		
					***	
	DOCUMENT NUMBER	FOREIGN	PATENT DOCU COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	Translation
	DOCOMENT NOMBER	DAIL	COOMIN	OBAGG	00000.00	Yes No
	DE 40 09 676 A1	10/2/91	Germany	C12	N1/19	X
HAZ_	WO 95/13362	5/18/95	PCT	C12	N1/14	X
1						
Examiner			Date Conside	ered		
	se Robins		1 ()	D		
*Examiner: initial conformance and	Il if reference considered, we do not consider d. Include of	hether or not copy of this for	itation is in conformation with next communication	nce with MPEP cation to applica	609; Draw line thront.	ough citation if not in

PCDocs36453

**RECEIVED** 

JAN 2 3 2002

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE		Atty. Docket No.	Serial No.		
CITATION (Use several sheets if necessary)		7024-109/PUR-48-PCT-US	Unknown		
		Applicant			
`	10176	Nancy W.Y. Ho et al.			
	Sheet 2 of 4	Filing Date	Group		
	JAN 2 7 1999	November 5, 1998	Unknown		
Examiner					
Initial	Ammerer, G., "Expression of	<b>8</b>	OC1 Promotor " Mothodo In		
Libe	Enzymology, Vol. 101, pp. 192		DCT Floriloter, Methods III		
<del></del>	Amore, R., Wilhelm, M. and Ho	llenber, C.P., "The Ferment	ation Of Xylose - An Analysis		
}	Of The Expression Of Bacillus	And Actinoplanes Xylose Iso	omerase Genes In Yeast,"		
1   1	Appl. Microgiol. Biotechnol., Vo				
	Becker, D.M. and Guarente, L.				
	Electroporation," Methods In El				
1 1 1	Bennetzen, J.L. and Hall, B.D.,				
	cerevisiae Gene For Alcohol Dehydrogenase I," J. Biol. Chem., Vol. 257, No. 6, pp. 3018-3025 (1982).				
	Burke, R.L., Tekamp-Olson, P.	and Najarian, R., "The Isola	ation, Characterization, And		
'\	Sequence Of The Pyruvate Kinase Gene Of Saccharomyces cerevisiae," J. Biol. Chem.,				
	Vol. 258, No. 4, pp. 2193-2201	(1983).	Coro For The		
	Chang, S-F. and Ho, N.W.Y., "Cloning The Yeast Xylulokinase Gene For The				
<del>                                     </del>	Improvement Of Xylose Fermentation," pp 313-318 (1988).  Chen, Z. and Ho, N.W.Y., "Cloning And Improving The Expression Of <i>Pichia stipitis</i>				
Xylose Reductase Gene In Saccharomyces cerevisiae," Appl. Biochem. And Biotech.,					
Vol. 39, No. 40, pp. 135-147 (1993).					
	Chevallier, M.R. and Aigle, M., "Qualitative Detection Of Penicillinase Produced By Yeast				
Strains Carrying Chimeric Yeast-Coli Plasmids," <u>FEBS Letters</u> , Vol. 108, No. 1, pp. 179-180 (December 1979).					
	Chiang, L-C., Hsiao, H-Y., Ueng, P.P., Chen, L-F. and Tsao, G.T., "Ethanol Production From Xylose By Enzymic Isomerization And Yeast Fermentation," pp. 263-274.				
	D'Amore, T., Celotto, G., Russell, I. and Stewart, G.G., "Selection And Optimization Of				
1 1 1	Yeast Suitable For Ethanol Pro	duction At 40°C," <u>Enzyme N</u>	licrob. Technol., Vol. 11, pp.		
	411-416 (July 1989).				
D'Amore, T., Panchal, C.J., Russell, I., Stewart, G.G., "A Study Of Ethanol Tolerance In Yeast," Critical Reviews In Biotechnology, Vol. 9, No. 4, pp. 287-304 (1990).					
Deng, X.X. and Ho, N.W.Y., "Xylulokinase Activity In Various Yeasts Including					
Saccharomyces cerevisiae Containing The Cloned Xylulokinase Gene," Appl. Biochem.					
And Biotech., Vol. 24, No. 25, pp. 193-199 (1990).					
	Fujii, T., Kondo, K., Shimizu, F., Sone, K'K., Tanaka, J-I. and Inoue, T., "Application Of A				
100	Ribosomal DNA Integration Vector In The Construction Of A Brewer's Yeast Having α-				
Acetolactate Decarboxylase Activity," <u>Appl. Environ. Microbiol.</u> , Vol. 56, No. 4, pp. 997-					
1003 (April 1990).					
Examiner	1	Date Considered			
-	tope Robinson	4/5/00			
*Examiner: in	itial if reference considered, whether or not citation	n is in conformance with MPEP 609;	Draw line through citation if not in		
conformance a	conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.				

INF	DRMATION DISCLOSURE	Atty. Docket No.	Serial No.	
CITATION		7024-109/PUR-48-PCT-US	Unknown	
(Use	several sheets if necessary)	Applicant		
	1 144 0 7 444	¶Nancy W.Y. Ho et al.		
	Sheet 3 of 4 JAN 2 7 1999	Filing Date	Group	
	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	<b>∜November 5, 1998</b>	Unknown	
Examiner Initial	d TRAMENAS	BLICATION		
IIIIbai	Grootjen, D.R.J., van der Lans	, R.G.J.M. and Luyben, K.Ch	n.A.M., "Effects Of The	
140	Aeration Rate On The Ferment	tation Of Glucose And Xylos	e By <i>Pichia stipitis</i> CBS	
THE	5773," Enzyme Microb. Techno			
	Hallborn, J., Walfridsson, M., A			
	and Keränan, S., "Xylitol Produ Biotechnology, Vol. 9, pp. 1090		naromyces cerevisiae,	
	Ho, N.W.Y. and Chang, S-F., "		se Gene By Complementation	
	Of E. coli And Yeast Mutations			
	1989).			
	Ho, N.W.Y., Stevis, P., Rosenf			
	coli Xylose Isomerase Gene By pp. 245-250 (1983).	A reast Promoter, biotech	1. And Bioeng. Symp. No. 13,	
	Holland, J.P. and Holland, M.J.	. "The Primary Structure Of	A Glyceraldehyde-3-	
	Phosphate Dehydrogenase Ge			
	9839-9845 (1979).			
	Jeffries, T.W., "Emerging Tech	nology For Fermenting D-xyl	ose," pp. 208-212.	
	Jeffries, T.W., "Utilization Of Xylose By Bacteria, Yeasts, And Fungi," pp. 1-32.			
	Kötter, P., Amore, R., Hollenberg, C.P. and Ciriacy, M., "Isolation And Characterization			
	Of The Pichia stipitis Xylitol Dehydrogenase Gene, XYL2, And Construction Of A Xylose-			
Utilizing Saccharomyces cerevisiae Transformant," Curr. Genet., Vol. 18, pp. 493-500 (1990).				
Kötter, P. and Ciriacy, M., "Xylose Fermentation By Saccharomyces cerevisiae," Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol., Vol. 38, pp. 776-783 (1993).				
Kunkel, T.A., Roberts, J.D. and Zakour, R.A., "Rapid And Efficient Site-Specific				
	Mutagenesis Without Phenotypic Selection," Meth. Enzymol., Vol. 154, p. 367-382 (1987).			
	Lastick, S.M., Tucker, M.Y., Beyette, J.R., Noll, G.R. and Grohmann, K., "Simultaneous			
	Fermentation And Isomerization Of Xylose," <u>Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.</u> , Vol. 30, pp. 574-579 (1989).			
Le Dall M.T. Nicaud, I.M. and Gaillardin, C. "Multiple Copy Integration In The Veast				
1 HAC	Yarrowia lipolytica," Curr. Genet., Vol. 26, pp. 38-44 (1994).			
11.0	Lopes, De Wijs, I.J., Steenhauer, S.I., Verbakel, J. and Plants, R.J., "Factors Affecting			
1 HR	The Mitotic Stability Of High-Copy-Number Integration Into The Ribosomal DNA Of			
6 -	Saccharomyces cerevisiae," Vol. 12, pp. 467-477 (1996).  Rosenfeld, S.A., Stevis, P.E. and Ho, N.W.Y., "Cloning And Characterization Of The xyl			
Genes From Escherichia coli," Mol. Gen. Genet., Vol. 194, pp. 410-415 (1984).				
Examiner		Date Considered		
	1 . E 1			
tope Ishinson 4500				
	nitial if reference considered, whether or not citation and not considered. Include copy of this form with		Draw line through citation if not in	
- Complete	min mor considered. Include copy of this form wit	communication to apprecant.		

RECEIVED

JAN 2 3 2002

INFO	RMATION DISCLOSURE	Atty. Docket No.	Serial No.		
	CITATION OLD	7024-109/PUR-48-PCT-US	Unknown		
(Use	several sheets if necessary) 🤄	Applicant			
	IAN 2.7	dNancy W.Y. Ho et al.			
	Sheet 4 of 4 JAN 2 7 1999	1,9 = 4.0	Group		
		November 5, 1998	Unknown		
Examiner Initial	TRADEMARA	BLICATION			
	Sarthy, A.V., McConaughy, B.L				
HIC	"Expression Of The Escherichia				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	cerevisiae," Appl. Environ. Micr Stevis, P.E. and Ho, N.W.Y., "C				
/	By Cloning The D-xylose Isome				
l _ <i>l</i>	596 (December 1985).				
	Stevis, P.E., Huang, J.J. and H				
	Xylulokinase Gene By Complet Vol. 53, pp. 2975-2977 (December 1)		i," Appl. Environ. Microbiol.,		
	Takuma, S., Nakashima, N., Ta		Okada H. Seki T. and		
	Yoshida, T., "Isolation Of Xylos				
	Saccharomyces cerevisiae," A	ppl. Biochem. Biotechnol., V	ol. 28, No. 29, pp. 327-340		
<del></del>	(1991). Tantirungkij, M., Izuishi, T., Sel	d T and Vachida T "Fad I	Potch Formantation Of Yulana		
11.0					
-HAL	By A Fast-Growing Mutant Of Xylose-Assimilating Recombinant Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol., Vol. 41, pp. 8-12 (1994).				
	Tantirungkij, M., Seki, T. and Y				
	cerevisiae For Ethanol Production From Xylose," Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci., pp. 138-147 (May				
	2, 1994) Toivola A. Yarrow D. van de	n Bosch, E., van Diiken, J.P.	and Scheffers, W.A.		
	Toivola, A., Yarrow, D., van den Bosch, E., van Dijken, J.P. and Scheffers, W.A., "Alcoholic Fermentation of d-Xylose By Yeasts," Appl. Environ. Microbiol., Vol. 47, No. 6,				
	pp. 1221-1223 (June 1984).		-		
	Wilhelm, M. and Hollenberg, C.P., "Selective Cloning Of Bacillus subtilis Xylose				
,	Isomerase And Xylulokinase In <i>Escherichia coli</i> Genes By IS5-Mediated Expression," EMBO J., Vol. 3, No. 11, pp. 2555-2560 (1984).				
<b>₩</b>	Yamano, S., Kondo, K., Tanaka, J. and Inoue, T., "Construction Of A Brewer's Yeast				
1100_	Having α-Acetolactate Decarboxylase Gene From Acetobacter aceti ssp. Xylinum				
1111	Integrated In The Genome," J.	Biotech., Vol. 32, pp. 173-17	78 (1994).		
Zalkin, H. and Yanofsky, C., "Yeast Gene TRP5: Structure, Function, Regulation," J. Biol. Chem., Vol. 257, No. 3, pp. 1491-1500 (1982).					
Examiner		Date Considered	<del></del>		
1	T) 1				
+\z	be Kilomon	4500	,		
	itial if reference considered, whether or not citation and not considered. Include copy of this form wit		Draw line through citation if not in		
	or any total with	to			

RECEIVED

Notice of References Cited				Application No. 09/180,340	Applicant(s)	Ho et	t al.	
DOCUMENT NO.   DATE   NAME   CLASS   SUBCLASS			rences Citea	i i	on	Group Art Uni	t	Page 1 of 1
A 5.866,382 11/3/94 Hallborn et al. 435 159  b 5,789,210 11/8/93 Ho et al. 435 163  c			U.S	. PATENT DOCUMENTS	<del></del>			
A 5,866,382 11/3/94 Hallborn et al. 435 158  8 5,789,210 11/8/93 Ho et al. 435 163  C C		DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	NAME			CLASS	SUBCLASS
B   5,789,210   11/8/93   Ho et al.   435   163	_ A	5,866,382	11/3/94	Hallborn et	al.		435	<del> </del>
C	8	5,789,210	11/8/93	Ho et al.	•		435	<del></del>
E	C							
F	D							
G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	E							:
H	F				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
I DOCUMENT NO. DATE COUNTRY NAME CLASS SUBCLASS  N DOCUMENT NO. DATE COUNTRY NAME CLASS SUBCLASS  P D DOCUMENT NO. DATE COUNTRY NAME DATE  NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS  NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS  DOCUMENT (Including Author, Tide, Source, and Partinent Pages) DATE  U  V	G							
J	н						<del></del>	1
K L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	1							
Country   Name   Class   Subclass	J							
## POREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS    DOCUMENT NO.   DATE   COUNTRY   NAME   CLASS   SUBCLASS     N	к					<u>-</u>		
DOCUMENT NO. DATE	L							
DOCUMENT NO.   DATE   COUNTRY   NAME   CLASS   SUBCLASS	м					<u>:</u> i		
N CLASS SUBCLASS  N CLASS SUBCLASS  P CLASS SUBCLASS  P CLASS SUBCLASS  NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS  NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS  DOCUMENT (Including Author, Title, Source, and Pertinent Pages)  DATE  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V			FOREIG	ON PATENT DOCUMENTS				
N		DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	COUNTRY	NAME		CLASS	SUBCLASS
P	N							
P			·				<del></del>	
R S NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS  DOCUMENT (Including Author, Title, Source, and Pertinent Pages)  DATE  V W	P				<del></del>	<u> </u>	<u>:</u>	
R S NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS  DOCUMENT (Including Author, Title, Source, and Pertinent Pages)  DATE  V W	a					<u> </u>		
NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS  DOCUMENT (Including Author, Title, Source, and Pertinent Pages)  DATE  V  W	R							
NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS  DOCUMENT (Including Author, Title, Source, and Partinent Pages)  DATE  V  W	s							
U  V  W	Т							·····
U  V  W	<del>!'</del>		NON	PATENT DOCUMENTS		1		
v		·					<del></del>	DATE
v				, week control and totalizate rages;				DATE
v w	U						!	
w	<u> </u>					<del>-</del>		
w	v						!	
		•						
	w							
x							:	•
^								
	^							

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-892 (Rev. 9-95)

ATTACHMENT TO PAPER NO.

JAN 2 3 2002

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

## NOTICE OF DRAFTSPERSON'S PATENT DRAWING REVIEW

DRAWINGS 17 CFR 1 84(-). A	O ADDANOGMENT OF VIEWS 33 CED 104/3
DRAWINGS. 37 CFR 1.84(a): Acceptable categories of drawings: Black ink, Color.	ARRANGEMENT OF VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84(i)      Words do not appear on a horizontal, left-to-right fashion
Black ink, Color.  Color drawings are not acceptable until petiton is granted.	when page is either upright or turned so that the top
Fig(s)	becomes the right side, except for graphs. Fig(s)
Pencil and non black ink not permitted. Fig(s)	9. SCALE. 37 CFR 1.84(k)
PHOTOGRAPHS. 37 CFR 1.84 (b)	Scale not large enough to show mechanism without
1 full-tone set is required. Fig(s)	crowding when drawing is reduced in size to two-thirds in
Photographs not properly mounted (must use brystol board or	reproduction.
photographic double-weight paper). Fig(s)	Fig(s)
Poor quality (half-tone). Fig(s)	10. CHARACTER OF LINES, NUMBERS, & LETTERS.
TYPE OF PAPER. 37 CFR 1.84(e)	37 CFR 1.84(i)
Paper not flexible, strong, white, and durable.	Lines, numbers & letters not uniformly thick and well
Fig(s)	defined, clean, durable, and black (poor line quality).
Erasures, alterations, overwritings, interlineations,	Fig(s)
folds, copy machine marks not accepted. Fig(s)	11. SHADING. 37 CFR 1.84(m)
Mylar, velum paper is not acceptable (too thin).	Solid black areas pale. Fig(s)
Fig(s)	Solid black shading not permitted. Fig(s)
SIZE OF PAPER. 37 CFR 1.84(f): Acceptable sizes:	Shade lines, pale, rough and blurred. Fig(s)
21.0 cm by 29.7 cm (DIN size A4)	12. NUMBERS, LETTERS, & REFERENCE CHARACTERS.
21.6 cm by 27.9 cm (8 1/2 x 11 inches)	37 CFR 1.84(p)
All drawing sheets not the same size.	Numbers and reference characters not plain and legible.
Sheet(s) Drawings sheets not an acceptable size. Fig(s)	Fig(s) Fig(s)
MARGINS. 37 CFR 1.84(g): Acceptable margins:	Figure legends are poor. Fig(s)  Numbers and reference characters not oriented in the
makons. 37 Crk 1.04(g): Acceptable margins:	same direction as the view. 37 CFR 1.84(p)(1)
Top 2.5 cm Left 2.5cm Right 1.5 cm Bottom 1.0 cm	Fig(s)
SIZE: A4 Size	English alphabet not used. 37 CFR 1.84(p)(2)
Top 2.5 cm Left 2.5 cm Right 1.5 cm Bottom 1.0 cm	Figs
SIZE: 8 1/2 x 11	Numbers, letters and reference characters must be at least
Margins not acceptable. Fig(s) 5-8.92-11	.32 cm (1/8 inch) in height. 37 CFR 1.84(p)(3)
Top (T) Left (L)	Fig(s)
Right (R) Bottom (B)	13. LEAD LINES. 37 CFR 1.84(q)
VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84(h)	Lead lines cross each other. Fig(s)
REMINDER: Specification may require revision to	Lead lines missing. Fig(s)
correspond to drawing changes.	14. NUMBERING OF SHEETS OF DRAWINGS. 37 CFR 1.84(1)
Partial views. 37 CFR 1.84(h)(2)	Sheets not numbered consecutively, and in Arabic numerals
Brackets needed to show figure as one entity.	beginning with number 1. Sheet(s)
Fig(s)	15. NUMBERING OF VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84(u)
Views not labeled separately or properly.	Views not numbered consecutively, and in Arabic numerals,
Fig(s)	beginning with number 1. Fig(s)
Enlarged view not labeled separetely or properly.	16. CORRECTIONS. 37 CFR 1.84(w)
Fig(s)	Corrections not made from prior PTO-948
SECTIONAL VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84 (h)(3)	dated
Hatching not indicated for sectional portions of an object.	17. DESIGN DRAWINGS. 37 CFR 1.152
Fig(s)	Surface shading shown not appropriate. Fig(s)
Sectional designation should be noted with Arabic or	Solid black shading not used for color contrast.
Roman numbers. Fig(s)	Fig(s)
COMMENTS	
COMMENTS	
	The state of the s
	The state of the s
الأخلاف السابي	